# **ECOFYS** A Navigant Company

## QUANTIFYING THE CLIMATE AND ENERGY BENEFITS OF ROCKWOOL PRODUCTS FOR BUILDING INSULATION



#### Introduction

The ROCKWOOL Group is a global leader in stone wool solutions. To assess the energy and carbon emissions savings by the usage of sold ROCKWOOL building insulation products, there is a need for a robust and transparent calculation methodology. Therefore, ROCKWOOL asked Ecofys to develop a methodology to calculate the energy and CO<sub>2</sub> emission savings of its building insulation. Ecofys developed this methodology independently of ROCKWOOL and approves the outcomes, given the underlying assumptions and

acknowledging that there are uncertainties and assumptions made where a lack of data exists, as described in this document.

Because no industry standard exists to calculate energy and emission savings, this document aims to transparently describe Ecofys' calculation method of ROCKWOOL's energy and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions savings, give a clear and concise overview of the inputs used, and describe which assumptions the Ecofys team used to compensate for lack of data.

The energy and  $CO_2$  emission savings calculated using the approach described in this document consist of the energy and  $CO_2$  emission savings of ROCKWOOL products for building insulation over their complete lifetime and compared to a reference situation:

- In case of new buildings, a situation where no insulation is applied
- In case of building refurbishments, the insulation level of the existing building before refurbishment

The high-level calculation approach is shown on page 2. In this approach, annual space heating savings are defined as the reduction in space heating demand with respect to the reference situation. Note that the energy savings are expressed as reduction in space heating demand. This number isolates the effect of ROCKWOOL's insulation products, as it does not reflect the effects of other factors that change over time (such as heat generation efficiency), which are included in final energy use. CO<sub>2</sub> emission savings are calculated based on the direct emission factor of the current fuel mix per country or region<sup>1</sup> for space heating purposes. Upstream emissions related to the extraction, production, and transportation of these fuels are excluded from the calculation due to a high uncertainty of these emissions. Including these upstream emissions would lead to an estimated 5% to 20% increase in the resulting CO<sub>2</sub> savings.<sup>2</sup> For heat generated by electricity and district energy, transport losses between the location where the emissions occur (e.g., the power plant) and the location where the energy is used for space heating purposes (i.e., the building) have been included.

#### About Ecofys

Ecofys, a Navigant company, is a leading international energy and climate consultancy focused on sustainable energy for everyone. Founded in 1984, the company is a trusted advisor to governments, corporations, NGOs, and energy providers worldwide. The team delivers powerful results in the energy and climate transition sectors. Working across the entire energy value chain, Ecofys develops innovative solutions and strategies to support its clients in enabling the energy transition and working through the challenges of climate change.

### For more information, please contact:

Martijn Overgaag M.Overgaag@ecofys.com

Caspar Noach C.Noach@ecofys.com

+31-(0)30 662 3300 www.ecofys.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fuel mix is not corrected for expected changes in this mix over time and, therefore, does not take the potential decarbonisation of this fuel mix into account. However, the fuel mix will be updated every 3 years.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Range is based on a high level assessment of different sources for the upstream impacts of fuels, including life cycle analysis (LCA) software, public sources (like UK Defra and the Dutch government), and Ecofys' own research.



#### Methodology

Energy and  $CO_2$  emission savings over the lifetime of ROCKWOOL products for building insulation are calculated based on sales and application inputs. Calculations are carried out for two product applications (building envelope and flat roof) for both new constructions and building refurbishments. For most of the sales (>80%), the calculation is done by assessing input parameters on a country level. For the remaining countries with lower sales volumes, input values are based on regional estimates.

The calculation methodology and the input values are schematically depicted below. This methodology is used to calculate both the energy savings (in the form of space heat savings) and the  $CO_2$  emissions savings.



\* For new buildings: U-value of uninsulated building for new builds, For refurbishments: U-value of existing building before refurbishing

#### **Rationale Behind Inputs**

For each of the four application groups, several generic and specific assumptions are made (see the table below). In case high uncertainty exists on a specific input, the most conservative option is used—i.e., the option that leads to the lowest energy and emission savings.

Concerning insulation **lifetime (1)**, a 50-year lifespan is used. This is in line with the ROCKWOOL environmental product declaration (EPD) and the product category rules (PCRs) for thermal insulation products, which state that the thermal performance characteristics of thermal insulation products are usually based on a minimum of 50 years. In contrast, the Deutsche Gesellschaft für Nachhaltiges Bauen (DGBN)<sup>3</sup> states 60 years for thermal insulation of the outer wall. Because this is an uncertain number, the most conservative value of 50 years is used instead of using either 60 years or an average of these numbers.

To calculate the **emission factor for space heating in each country (2)**, the following inputs are factored in: the heat generation efficiency per fuel type (2a), the fuel mix of each country where insulation is applied (2b), and the emission factor of that fuel (2c). As also depicted in the calculation methodology figure above, this emission factor indicates the emission per kWh of useful heat in the building and not the emissions per kWh of final energy delivered to the buildings (e.g. fuels). The difference between these two values is caused by the efficiency of the heating system (e.g. the boiler).

For the heat generation efficiency (2a), assumptions from Ecofys buildings experts are used based on the typical values of different heating systems. To yield conservative outcomes, efficiencies on the high end of the range are used, as lower efficiencies would lead to higher outcomes (emission savings). This is because more fuel is needed to cover the same amount of useful space heating demand. In practice, average generation efficiencies are expected to be lower. The efficiencies used in this methodology are:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> More information can be retrieved from: http://www.dgnb.de/en/



- 80% for coal
- 85% for biomass
- 95% for oil & gas
- 100% for district heating, electricity, and ambient heat

The fuel mix of each country where insulation is applied (2b) is based on different data sources. For European countries, the input is based on a study for the European Commission.<sup>4</sup> For North America, data from the US Energy Information Administration (EIA) is used for the US<sup>5</sup> and data from Natural Resources Canada (NRCan)<sup>6</sup> for Canada. For Russia, the IFC study *Energy Efficiency in Russia: Untapped Reserves*<sup>7</sup> is used, and for China, an expert judgement is made based on International Energy Agency (IEA)<sup>8</sup> data and previous Ecofys studies.

The emission factor of each fuel type (2c) is based on the 2006 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) *Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories* for coal, gas, and oil. The electricity emission factor is calculated per country based on IEA data<sup>8</sup>. The biomass emission factor is set at a conservative value of 0 to only include  $CO_2$  emissions from fossil fuels.

	Input	Application			
		New Envelope	New Flat Roof	Refurbishment Envelope	Refurbishment Flat Roof
1. Insulation lifetime		50			
2. Emission factor of space heat in each country	2. Emission factor of space heat in each country	0.019-0.327 (kgCO <sub>2</sub> /kWh of space heat) Depending on fuel mix			
	2a. Heat generation efficiency (%)	80% for coal, 85% for biomass, 95% for oil and gas, 100% for district heating, electricity and ambient heat			
	2b. Fuel mix	Varying per country			
	2c. Emission factor per fuel type	Coal 0.341, Gas 0.202, Oil 0.264, Biofuels 0 gCO <sub>2</sub> /kWh. Electricity and district heat varying per country			
3. Amount of insulation used per category	3a. Overall sales (m3)	Confidential			
	3b. Sales share per application (%)	Confidential			
	3c. Sales share new/ refurbishment (%)	Confidential			
	3d. Share of insulation used (%)	98%			
4. Insulation thickness	4a. λ value of insulation (W/mK)	0.034 - 0.042	0.038	0.034 - 0.042	0.038
	4b. U-value before insulation (W/m <sup>2</sup> K)	1.5	1.5	0.6 - 1.5	0.29 - 1.5
	4c. U-value after insulation (W/m <sup>2</sup> K)	0.15 - 0.7	0.11 - 0.5	0.15 - 0.7	0.11 - 0.5
5. ΔU		See U-value before and after above			
6. Heating degree days		473-5349			

For district heating, the emission factor is calculated for the EU, Russia, China, and the US based on IEA data. For Denmark and Sweden, additional country-level data has been collected given the combination of a high share of district heating and substantial sales of ROCKWOOL building insulation material in these countries. For Denmark, this is based on Danish Energy Agency statistics and for Sweden, on the *Energy* journal article "District heating and cooling in Sweden."

The **amount of insulation used per category (3)** for each application (building envelope and flat roof) and market (new construction and building refurbishment) is based on ROCKWOOL Building Insulation sales data in m<sup>3</sup> (3a), sales share per application (3b), sales distribution over new construction and building refurbishments (3c), and the share of insulation that is used in buildings (3d).

ROCKWOOL sales data (3a), broken down into application—building envelope and flat roof—(3b) are provided by ROCKWOOL and are not further validated by Ecofys.

The breakdown of products into the market for new construction and building refurbishments (3c) is based on ROCKWOOL's country-level market share assessment.

<sup>6</sup> Natural Resources Canada, Energy use statistics: http://oee.nrcan.gc.ca/corporate/statistics/neud/dpa/showTable.cfm?type=HB&sector=res&juris=00&rn=1&page=0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Study for the European Commission: Mapping and analyses of the current and future (2020-2030) heating/cooling fuel deployment (fossil/renewables), TU Wien, Observ'ER, Fraunhofer ISE, TEP, IREES, Fraunhofer ISI.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Energy Information Agency, RECS statistics 2017: https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Retrieved from: http://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/de1e58804aababd79797d79e0dc67fc6//FC+EE+in+Russia+Untapped+Potential.pdf?MOD=AJPERES

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> More information can be retrieved from: https://www.iea.org/



To calculate the amount of insulation that is used (3d), a waste percentage of 2% is assumed. The Product Environmental Footprint Category Rules (PEFCR) for thermal insulation products and the French mineral wool association FILMM<sup>9</sup> use 2% as a default. PEFCR is part of the European Commission's Single Market for Green Products initiative.<sup>10</sup> This parameter is not critical, as even doubling the amount will only decrease the overall outcome by 2%.

The **insulation thickness (4)** is calculated based on the weighted average  $\lambda$ -value of the insulation material (4a), the U-value of the building before insulation or refurbishment (4b), and the U-value after insulation (4c). For refurbishments, an assumption must be made on the share of situations where old insulation material is removed before placing the new insulation material versus the share of situations where new insulation material is installed on top of the old insulation material. In the latter case, less new insulation material is needed per square meter of building envelope (or flat roof) to reach the desired U-value. In this calculation, a 50-50 split is assumed based on ROCKWOOL market experience. This is not a sensitive assumption, as using 100% of either option would change the outcome by only approximately 1%.

The weighted average  $\lambda$ -value of the insulation material (4a) is calculated based on ROCKWOOL sales data for each product type and the average  $\lambda$ -value of these product types. It is calculated on a country level for building envelope and flat roof insulation applications separately.

The U-value of the building before insulation (4b) differs between new construction and building refurbishments. For new construction, the reference case is defined as the situation where insulation material is applied between two brick walls<sup>11</sup> and then removed, leaving an air gap in between. This yields a U-value of 1.5 W/m<sup>2</sup>K. An alternative for placing insulation in between two brick walls is to place insulation on top of a brick or concrete wall. If insulation is removed in this situation, it does not leave an airgap, yielding a higher U-value. If this U-value is used as a reference case, higher emissions savings would be estimated. However, as no solid data is available to back up the distribution between these options, the most conservative option is used for this calculation.

The calculation for building refurbishments uses baseline insulation before refurbishment based on typical insulation thicknesses in the building stocks of five representative countries. For these countries, the U-value of the existing building stock is estimated by Ecofys and ROCKWOOL building experts based on the following sources: the EPISCOPE and TABULA webtool<sup>12</sup>; a published paper on energy saving potential of Moscow apartment buildings<sup>13</sup> and publications from the Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development (MOHURD) F17, the China Academy of Building Research (CABR), and the US Department of Energy through its Building Energy Codes program.

The U-value after insulating (4c) is based on local building regulations and standards for both new construction and refurbishments. For most European countries, the values are extracted from two different sources: The Heat Roadmap Europe 2050 developed by Fraunhofer ISI, TEP Energy GmbH, University Utrecht, and ARMINES and the concerted action Energy Performance Building Directive (EPBD) report outcomes. In addition, for some countries, the local regulatory documents are used—e.g., the Bouwbesluit for the Netherlands, the National Energy Code of Canada, the thermal protection of building regulations of Russia, and TEK10 regulation<sup>14</sup> for Norway. For Sweden and China, the Global Building Performance Network database is used. For building envelope in the US, state-level building codes have been used, focusing on regulations in the Northern states, which are close to the relevant ROCKWOOL production facilities. For flat roof insulation in the US, a U-value of 0.22 is used based on ROCKWOOL market experience. This is not a critical assumption, as lowering it to even 0.12 would reduce the overall outcome of the calculation by only 0.1% as ROCKWOOL sales is US/NA is not yet in significant numbers.

The **ΔU (5)** is calculated based on the difference between the U-value in the reference case (5a, as described under 4b above) and the U-value after applying ROCKWOOL building insulation material (5b, as described under 4c above).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> More information can be retrieved from: https://www.filmm.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> More information relating to the policy background can be found at: http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp/policy\_footprint.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Made from solid brick with a density of 1800 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Can be retrieved from: http://episcope.eu/building-typology/webtool/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> S. Paiho et al. (2014), Energy and Buildings, "Energy saving potentials of Moscow apartment buildings in residential districts"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Regulation on technical requirements for buildings construction 2016 update - Calculation standard: NS 3031 "Calculation of energy performance of buildings"



The amount of **heating degree days (6)** are obtained from different sources. For European countries, values are a 5-year average of EUROSTAT data<sup>15</sup>, where Norway is assumed to have the same amount of heating degree days as Sweden. For North America, the values are based on data from the Canadian government<sup>16</sup> for the regions close to the US border where the relevant ROCKWOOL production facilities are located. The values for China and Russia are based on the locations of ROCKWOOL production locations in these countries combined with the heating degree day map in a published paper on heating degree days for building applications<sup>17</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Retrieved from: http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/energy/data

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Government of Canada Open Data portal, retrieved from: http://open.canada.ca/data/en/dataset/fd8efb83-b73d-5442-ab60-7987c824f5fd

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> M. Mourshed (2016), Renewable Energy, "Climatic parameters for building energy applications: A temporal-geospatial assessment of temperature indicators"