

**Schedule for reporting regarding the recommendations from the Committee on Corporate Governance  
(Reporting regarding corporate governance in accordance with the Danish Financial Statement Act sec. 107b.)**

**Introduction/conclusion: Rockwool International A/S  
Report August 2011**

## What is Corporate Governance?

Corporate Governance refers to the system by which Rockwool International A/S (RI) is directed and controlled.

The Danish Nørby Committee has defined corporate governance as follows:

*"The goals, according to which a company is managed, and the major principles and frameworks which regulate the interaction between the company's managerial bodies, the owners as well as other parties, who are directly influenced by the company's dispositions and business (in this context jointly referred to as the company's stakeholders). Stakeholders include employees, creditors, suppliers, customers and the local community".*

## Nørby Committee's Corporate Governance Recommendations, 2005 as revised in 2008 and Corporate Governance Committee's recommendations August 2011

As a company organised under Danish law and with a listing on the NASDAQ OMX Nordic Exchange Copenhagen, RI is in compliance with Danish securities law and it is RI's intent to be guided by Corporate Governance Recommendations designated by the NASDAQ OMX Nordic Exchange Copenhagen. In 2005, the NASDAQ OMX Nordic Exchange Copenhagen adopted the revised Danish Recommendations on Corporate Governance prepared by the Nørby Committee II on a comply or explain basis, as later amended.

### General remarks

RI is generally in compliance with such recommendations, but has in some cases chosen to differ. The differences are generally due to company-specific views on the requirements to make RI create shareholder value optimally.

## Framework for Corporate Governance in RI

RI's corporate governance consists of a framework of principles and rules, including the Articles of Association, Business Procedures for the Board of Directors (the Board) and Management Instructions for "the Direktion", and is in accordance with the more general values and business rules used in the Group, called People & Brands and Principles of Leadership. The Group Management in cooperation with the Board reviews these principles and

rules regularly in the light of prevailing best practices.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Danish Companies Act and RI's Articles of Association, the control and direction of RI is divided among the shareholders in a general meeting, the Board and the Group Management.

### **The Board**

The Board normally consists of 9 directors, however for the time being 10. 6 (for the time being 7) are elected by shareholders at general meetings, and 3 are employees, elected by the employees of RI in Denmark according to Danish law. The roles and responsibilities of the Board are defined in the Business Procedure for the Board of RI.

### **Group Management**

Group Management is responsible for the day-to-day management of the company. It consists of the president and CEO and six other executives. Two Executives including the CEO are registered as "Direktion" according to Danish law.

The Board appoints Group Management and determines their remuneration through the Compensation Committee. The Chairmanship, consisting of the chairman and one or two deputy chairmen, identifies together with the CEO successors to executives who are presented to the Board for approval.

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
<b>1. The role of the shareholders and their interaction with the management of the company</b>			
<i>1.1. Dialogue between the company and its shareholders</i>			
1.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body, for example through investor relations activities, ensure an ongoing dialogue between the company and its shareholders in order that the central governing body knows the shareholders' attitude, interests and views in relation to the company and that investor relations material be made available to all investors on the company's website	✓		
<i>1.2. Capital and share structure</i>			
1.2.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body every year evaluate whether the company's capital and share structures continue to be in the interests of the shareholders and the company and account for this evaluation in the management commentary in the annual report and/or on the company's website.	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
<i>1.3. General Meeting</i>			
1.3.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body and the executive board promote active ownership, including shareholders' attendance at general meetings.	✓		
1.3.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body resolve or submit to the general meeting the question whether the general meeting shall be conducted by physical attendance or as a partly or entirely electronic general meeting.	✓		
1.3.3 The Committee <b>recommends</b> that proxies given to the supreme governing body allow shareholders to consider each individual item on the agenda.	✓		
1.3.4 The Committee <b>recommends</b> that all members of the supreme governing body and the executive board be present at the general meeting.		✓	Due to practical considerations and in the absence of requests to do otherwise from the shareholders only the Chairmanship, consisting of the chairman and the deputy chairmen, the CEO, the CFO and the Senior Vice President Corporate Affairs are required to be present. Normally a member of Group Management will be asked to make a presentation about an important issue or market after the formal ordinary General Meeting.

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
<b>1.4. Takeover bids</b>			
1.4.1 The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body, from the moment it obtains knowledge that a takeover bid will be submitted, do not, without the acceptance of the general meeting, attempt to counter the takeover bid by making decisions which in reality prevent the shareholders from deciding on the takeover bid.	✓		
1.4.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body give the shareholders the opportunity to decide whether or not they wish to dispose of their shares in the company under the terms offered.	✓		
<b>2. The role of stakeholders and their importance to the company and the company's corporate social responsibility</b>			
<b>2.1. The company's policy in relation to its stakeholders</b>			
2.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body identify the company's key stakeholders and their main interests in relation to the company.	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
2.1.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body adopt a policy on the company's relationship with its stakeholders, including the investors, and ensure that the interests of the stakeholders are respected in accordance with the company's policy on such issues.	✓		
<i>2.2. Corporate social responsibility</i>			
2.2.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body adopt a policy on corporate social responsibility.	✓		
<b>3. Openness and transparency</b>			
<i>3.1. Disclosure of information to the market</i>			
3.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body adopt a communication strategy.	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
3.1.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that information from the company to the market be published in both Danish and English.	✓		
3.1.3. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the company publish quarterly reports.	✓		
<b>4. The tasks and responsibilities of the supreme and the central governing bodies</b>			
<i>4.1. Overall tasks and responsibilities</i>			
4.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body determine the company's overall strategy at least once every year with a view to sustaining value creation in the company.	✓		
4.1.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body at least once every year discuss and ensure that the necessary qualifications and financial resources are in place in order for the company to achieve its strategic goals.	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
4.1.3. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body at least once every year define its most important tasks related to the financial and managerial control of the company, including how to supervise the work of the executive board.	✓		
4.1.4. The Committee recommends that the supreme governing body annually discuss the company's activities to ensure diversity at management levels, including equal opportunities for both sexes, and that the supreme governing body set measurable objectives and in the management commentary in the annual report and/or on the company's website give an account of both the objectives and the progress made in achieving the objective.	✓		A monitoring system is being established and the Board will annually consider measures to improve the diversity. The recommended Management commentary will first time be part of the Annual Report for 2011.
<b>4.2. Procedures</b>			
4.2.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body review its rules of procedure annually to ensure that they are adequate and always match the activities and needs of the company.	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
4.2.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body annually review and approve procedures for the executive board, including establish requirements for the executive board's timely, accurate and adequate reporting to the supreme governing body and for any other communication between the two governing bodies.	✓		
<b>4.3. The chairman and deputy chairman of the supreme governing body</b>			
4.3.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that a deputy chairman of the supreme governing body be appointed, who must be able to act in the chairman's absence and also act as an effective sounding board for the chairman.	✓		
4.3.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> the preparation of a scope of work and task list specifying the tasks, duties and responsibilities of the chairman and deputy chairman.	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
4.3.3. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the chairman of the supreme governing body organise, convene and chair meetings to ensure efficiency in the body's work and to create the best possible working conditions for the members, individually and collectively.	✓		
4.3.4. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that, if the board of directors in exceptional cases asks its chairman to perform special tasks for the company, including briefly participate in the day-to-day management, a board resolution to that effect should be passed and precautions taken to ensure that the board of directors will maintain responsibility for the overall management and control function. A reasonable distribution of duties must be ensured between the chairman, the deputy chairman, the other members of the board of directors and the executive board. Information about agreements on the chairman's participation in the day-to-day management and the expected duration hereof must be disclosed in a company announcement.	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<p><b>5. Composition and organisation of the supreme governing body</b></p>			
<p><i>5.1. Composition</i></p>			
<p>5.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body annually specify the skills it must have to best perform its tasks and that the specification be posted on the website. Proposals for the nomination/replacement of members of the supreme governing body to be submitted to the general meeting should be prepared in the light hereof.</p>	<p>✓</p>		<p>Specification of skills is listed on the website as part of the General Meeting material.</p>
<p>5.1.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body ensure a formal, thorough and transparent process for selection and nomination of candidates to the supreme governing body. When assessing its composition and nominating new candidates, the supreme governing body must take into consideration the need for integration of new talent and the need for diversity in relation to international experience, gender and age, etc.</p>	<p>✓</p>		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
5.1.3. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that a description of the nominated candidates' qualifications, including information about other executive functions, e.g. memberships of executive boards, boards of directors and supervisory boards, including board committees, held by the candidates in both Danish and foreign companies as well as information on demanding organisational tasks should accompany the notice convening the general meeting when election of members to the supreme governing body is on the agenda.	✓		
5.1.4. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that every year, the annual report contain an account of the composition of the supreme governing body, including its diversity, and of any special skills possessed by the individual members.	✓		
<i>5.2. Training of members of the supreme governing body</i>			
5.2.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that new members joining the supreme governing body be given an introduction to the company.	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
5.2.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body annually assess whether the skills and expertise of its members need to be updated.	✓		
<i>5.3. Number of members of the supreme governing body</i>			
5.3.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body have only so many members as to allow a constructive debate and an effective decision-making process enabling all members to play an active role.	✓		
5.3.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that in connection with the preparation for each year's general meeting, the supreme governing body consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company.	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<p><i>5.4. The independence of the supreme governing body</i></p>			
<p>5.4.1. In order for the members of the supreme governing body to act independently of special interests, the Committee <b>recommends</b> that at least half of the members elected by the general meeting be independent persons.</p> <p>The independent supreme governing body member may not:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• be, or have been within the last five years, a member of the executive board/managerial staff of the company or an associated company,</li> <li>• have received significant additional remuneration from the company/group or an associated company apart from a fee for its services in the capacity as a member of the supreme governing body,</li> <li>• represent the interests of a controlling shareholder,</li> <li>• within the last year, have had a material business relationship (e.g. personally or indirectly as a partner or an employee, shareholder, customer, supplier or member</li> </ul>	<p>✓</p>		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
<p>of a governing body of companies with similar relations) with the company or an associated company,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• be, or have been within the last three years, an employee or partner of the external audit firm,</li> <li>• hold cross-memberships of governing bodies,</li> <li>• have been a member of the supreme governing body for more than 12 years, or</li> <li>• have close family ties with persons that are not regarded as independent persons.</li> </ul>			
<p>5.4.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that at least once every year, the supreme governing body list the names of the members who are regarded as independent persons and also disclose whether new candidates for the supreme governing body are considered independent persons.</p>	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
<i>5.5. Members of the supreme governing body elected by the employees</i>			
5.5.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the individual company explain, in the company's annual report or on its website, the system of employee-elected board members and the company's use hereof in companies where the employees have chosen to apply the provisions of the Companies Act on employee representation.	✓		
<i>5.6. Meeting frequency</i>			
5.6.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body meet at regular intervals according to a predetermined meeting and work schedule or when meetings are deemed necessary or appropriate as required by the company and that the number of meetings held be disclosed in the annual report.	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
<i>5.7. Expected time commitment and the number of other executive functions</i>			
5.7.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that each member of the supreme governing body assess the expected time commitment for each function in order that the member does not take on more functions than he/she can manage in a satisfactory way for the company.	✓		
5.7.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the annual report contain the following information about the members of the supreme governing body: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the member's occupation,</li> <li>• the member's other executive functions, e.g. memberships of executive boards, boards of directors and supervisory boards, including board committees, in Danish and foreign companies as well as demanding organisational tasks, and</li> <li>• the number of shares, options, warrants, etc. that the member holds in the company and its consolidated companies and any changes in such holdings during the financial year.</li> </ul>	✓ ✓ ✓		This will be done as from the Annual Report for 2011. Also, due to the Danish insider rules, all transactions with shares, options and warrants made by the Board and Group Management are notified by name and amount to the NASDAQ OMX Nordic Exchange Copenhagen and the Danish Financial Supervisory Authority.

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
<b>5.8. Retirement age</b>			
5.8.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the company's articles of association fix a retirement age for members of the supreme governing body and that the annual report contain information on such retirement age as well as the age of each member of the board of directors.	✓		
<b>5.9. Election period</b>			
5.9.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that members of the supreme governing body elected by the general meeting be up for re-election every year at the annual general meeting.	✓		
5.9.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the annual report state when the individual member of the supreme governing body joined the body, whether the member was re-elected and when the current election period expires.	✓		The Annual Report for 2011 will contain the recommended information.

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
<i>5.10. Board committees</i>			
5.10.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the company publish the following information in the management commentary in its annual report or on the company's website: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the terms of reference for the board committees,</li> <li>• important activities of the committees during the year and the number of meetings held by each committee, and</li> <li>• the names of the members of each committee, including the chairmen of the committees, as well as information on which members are independent members and which members have special qualifications.</li> </ul>	✓ ✓ ✓		} This has not been done so far but will be done in connection with the Annual Report for 2011.
5.10.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that a majority of the members of a board committee be independent members.	✓		
5.10.3. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body establish an actual <u>audit committee</u> .	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
<p>5.10.4. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the following be taken into account in composing the audit committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the chairman of the supreme governing body should not be chairman of the audit committee, and</li> <li>• between them, the members should possess such an amount of expertise and experience as to provide an updated insight into and experience in the financial, accounting and audit conditions of companies whose shares are admitted to trading on a regulated market.</li> </ul>	<p style="text-align: center;">✓  ✓</p>		<p>This will be the case after the General Assembly 2012.</p>
<p>5.10.5. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that, prior to the approval of the annual report and other financial reports, the audit committee monitor and report to the supreme governing body about:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• significant accounting policies</li> <li>• significant accounting estimates,</li> <li>• related party transactions, and</li> <li>• uncertainties and risks, including in relation to the outlook.</li> </ul>	<p style="text-align: center;">✓</p>		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<p>5.10.6. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the audit committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• annually consider whether there is a need for an internal audit function, and if so,</li> <li>• formulate recommendations on selecting, appointing and removing the head of the internal audit function and on the budget of the internal audit function, and</li> <li>• monitor the executive board's follow-up on the conclusions and recommendations of the internal audit function.</li> </ul>	✓		<p>The Board annually considers the need for an internal audit function. The Board has found that the present arrangement whereby Group Management has the responsibility to maintain a well functioning Internal Control function fulfils the requirement. The result of the Internal Control is reported to the Audit Committee.</p>
<p>5.10.7. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body establish a <u>nomination committee</u> with at least the following preparatory tasks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• describe the qualifications required in the two governing bodies and for a given position, state the expected time commitment for a position and evaluate the balance of skills, knowledge and experience available in the two governing bodies.</li> <li>• annually evaluate the structure, size, composition and performance of the governing bodies and make</li> </ul>	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
<p>recommendations to the supreme governing body with regard to any changes,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• annually evaluate the skills, knowledge and experience of the individual members of the governing bodies and report such details to the supreme governing body,</li> <li>• consider proposals submitted by relevant persons, including shareholders and members of the governing bodies, for candidates for executive positions, and</li> <li>• identify and recommend to the supreme governing body candidates for the governing bodies.</li> </ul>			
<p>5.10.8. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body establish a <u>remuneration committee</u> with at least the following preparatory tasks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• make proposals, for the approval of the supreme governing body prior to approval at the general meeting, on the remuneration policy, including the overall principles of incentive pay schemes, for members of the supreme governing body and the executive board,</li> </ul>	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• make proposals to the supreme governing body on remuneration for members of the supreme governing body and the executive board and ensure that the remuneration is consistent with the company's remuneration policy and the evaluation of the performance of the persons concerned. The committee should have information about the total amount of remuneration that members of the supreme governing body and the executive board receive from other companies in the group, and</li> <li>• oversee that the information in the annual report on the remuneration of the supreme governing body and the executive board is correct, true and sufficient.</li> </ul>			
<p>5.10.9. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the remuneration committee do not consult with the same external advisers as the executive board of the company.</p>	✓		<p>It is secured that the Board is advised by other individual advisers than the company.</p>

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
<i>5.11. Evaluation of the performance of the supreme governing body and the executive board</i>			
5.11.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body undertake an annual evaluation of the performance and achievements of the supreme governing body and of the individual members of the body.		✓	The recommended evaluation is done every second year to secure time for an in-depth evaluation.
5.11.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the chairman be in charge of the evaluation of the supreme governing body, that the outcome be discussed in the supreme governing body and that the details of the procedure of self-evaluation and the outcome be disclosed in the annual report.	✓ ✓	✓	The recommended details are not disclosed in the Annual Report. The Board's continued work reflects the outcome of the recommended evaluation.
5.11.3. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body at least once every year evaluate the work and performance of the executive board in accordance with pre-defined criteria.	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
5.11.4. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the executive board and the supreme governing body establish a procedure according to which their cooperation is evaluated annually through a formalised dialogue between the chairman of the supreme governing body and the chief executive officer and that the outcome of the evaluation be presented to the supreme governing body.	✓		
<b>6. Remuneration of members of the governing bodies</b>			
<i>6.1. Content and form of the remuneration policy</i>			
6.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body adopt a remuneration policy applicable to the supreme governing body and the executive board.	✓		
6.1.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the remuneration policy and any changes to the policy be approved by the general meeting of the company.	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
6.1.3. Committee <b>recommends</b> that the remuneration policy include a thorough description of the components of the remuneration for members of the supreme governing body and the executive board.	✓		
6.1.4. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the remuneration policy include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the reasons for choosing the individual components of the remuneration, and</li> <li>• a description of the criteria on which the balance between the individual components of the remuneration is based.</li> </ul>	✓		See also <a href="http://www.rockwool.com">www.rockwool.com</a> (about the group/corporate governance)
6.1.5. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that, if the remuneration policy includes variable components, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• limits be set on the variable components of the total remuneration package,</li> <li>• a reasonable and balanced linkage be ensured between remuneration for governing body members, expected risks and the value creation for shareholders in the short and long term,</li> <li>• there be clarity about performance criteria and measurability for award of variable components, and</li> </ul>	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• there be criteria ensuring that vesting periods for variable components of remuneration agreements are longer than one calendar year.</li> </ul>			
6.1.6. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that remuneration of members of the supreme governing body do not include share or warrant programmes.	✓		
6.1.7. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that if members of the executive board receive share-based remuneration, such programmes be established as roll-over programmes, i.e. the options are granted periodically and should not be exercisable earlier than three years from the date of grant. An explanation of the relation between the redemption price and the market price at the time of grant should be provided.	✓		
6.1.8. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that, in exceptional cases, companies should be able to reclaim in full or in part variable components of remuneration that were paid on the basis of data, which proved to be manifestly misstated.	✓		The Company has consistently used parameters in a.o. bonus programmes that can be verified before payment. However, If mistakes due to fraudulent information from the beneficiary are found reclaiming will be done with the legal means available, if necessary.

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
6.1.9. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that termination payments should not amount to more than two years' annual remuneration.	✓		The Company complies with regard to all recent employees, and is aware of only one old employment agreement with a higher termination payment than recommended.
<i>6.2. Disclosure of the remuneration policy</i>			
6.2.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the remuneration policy be clear and easily understandable and that it be disclosed in the annual report and posted on the company's website.	✓		
6.2.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the company's remuneration policy and compliance with this policy be explained and justified in the chairman's statement at the company's general meeting.	✓		The company applies with its policies and no further justification is found to be required.
6.2.3. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the total remuneration granted to each member of the supreme governing body and the executive board by the company and other consolidated companies be disclosed in the (consolidated) financial statements and that the linkage with the remuneration policy be explained.	✓	✓	The remuneration of the members of the Board of Directors of RI and the remuneration for committees' members will be made public from now on. However, the remuneration of each member of the executive board is seen to be a private matter and is not disclosed as recommended. The overall remuneration of the executive board will be part of the notes to the annual report for 2011. The company's policy of competitive remuneration is followed.

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
6.2.4. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the details of any defined-benefit schemes offered to members of the supreme governing body or the executive board and the actuarial value of such schemes as well as changes during the year be included as part of the information on the total remuneration.	✓		
6.2.5. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the most important aspects of retention and severance programmes be disclosed in the company's annual report.	✓		The Rockwool Group from time to time offers share options as a retention programme. This has been explained in the annual report and on the Group Web site as part of the information about incentive based remuneration. The Group has no general severance programmes. Individual severance terms are in line with the Group's policy of providing competitive remuneration.
6.2.6. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the proposal for remuneration of the supreme governing body for the current financial year be approved by the shareholders at the general meeting.	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
<b>7. Financial reporting</b>			
<i>7.1. Other relevant information</i>			
7.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the annual report and other financial reports be supplemented by additional financial and non-financial information, if deemed necessary or relevant in relation to the information needs of the recipients.	✓		
<i>7.2. Going concern assumption</i>			
7.2.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that, upon consideration and approval of the annual report, the supreme governing body decide whether the business is a going concern, including supporting assumptions or qualifications where necessary.	✓		As the assumptions mentioned are present the Board considers the Company a going concern.

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<b>8. Risk management and internal control</b>			
<i>8.1. Identification of risks</i>			
8.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body at least once every year identify the most important business risks associated with the realisation of the company's strategy and overall goals as well as the risks associated with financial reporting.	✓		
8.1.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the executive board currently report to the supreme governing body on the development within the most important areas of risk and compliance with adopted policies, frameworks etc. in order to enable the supreme governing body to track the development and make the necessary decisions.	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
<i>8.2. Whistleblowing</i>			
8.2.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body decide whether to establish a whistleblowing scheme for expedient and confidential notification of possible or suspected wrongdoing.	✓		
<i>8.3. Openness about risk management</i>			
8.3.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the management commentary in the annual report include information about the company's management of business risks.	✓		
<b>9. Audit</b>			
<i>9.1. Contact to auditor</i>			
9.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body maintain a regular dialogue and exchange of information with the auditor.	✓		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason: According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.  The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.
9.1.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the auditor agreement and auditors' fee be agreed between the supreme governing body and the auditor on the basis of a recommendation from the audit committee.	✓		Based on the recommendation from the Audit Committee, as approved by the Board, Group Management signs the auditors' engagement letter including the agreed auditors' fee.
9.1.3. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body and the audit committee meet with the auditor at least once every year without the executive board present. This also applies to the internal auditor, if any.	✓		
<b>9.2. Internal audit</b>			
9.2.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body, on the basis of a recommendation from the audit committee, once every year decide whether to establish an internal audit for support and control of the company's internal control and risk management systems and state the reasons for its decision in the annual report.	✓		The Board annually considers the need for an internal audit function. The Board has found that the present arrangement whereby Group Management has the responsibility to maintain a well functioning Internal Control function fulfils the requirement. The result of the Internal Control is reported to the Audit Committee.